

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/917,722

Applicant(s)

SHIN, KYOUNG SUP

Examiner

Carramah J. Quiett

Art Unit

2622

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Carramah J. Quiett, Patent Examiner. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) David C. Oren, Applicant's Attorney. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 28 June 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 31,33-35,38 and 43-46.

Identification of prior art discussed: NONE.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney Oren called Examiner Quiett to discuss the Advisory Action mailed on 06/21/2007. Mr. Oren stated that the Amendment After Final filed 06/21/2007 did not raise new issues. The claims were amended to satisfy the Examiner's objection to claims for allowance (see Final Office Action mailed 04/11/2007). Essentially, the claims discussed should be entered and reconsidered. Examiner Quiett stated that she would at the amendments filed 06/21/2007 again and speak to her supervisor before informing Attorney Oren of a decision.